UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,790	03/11/2004	Satish Mahadeorao Totey	REL494/4-002US/58000	5605
21586 7590 06/27/2008 VINSON & ELKINS, L.L.P. FIRST CITY TOWER			EXAMINER	
			GAMETT, DANIEL C	
1001 FANNIN STREET, SUITE 2500 HOUSTON, TX 77002-6760			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/27/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

cporter@velaw.com IPTLdocket@velaw.com bmelder@velaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/798,790	TOTEY ET AL.			
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	DANIEL C. GAMETT	1647			
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_			
(1) <u>DANIEL C. GAMETT</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>David Hibler</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>19 June 2008</u>	Time: <u>12:15 pm</u>				
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No	nt's representative)				
If Yes, provide a brief description:					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed: 5-9, 12-33, and 38					
Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet					
Part III.					
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>					
(A)	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested amendments to the claims that would permit allowance. Applicant argued that the changes would unnecessarily limit the scope of the claims. Both parties agreed that the issues were too complex to be resolved over the phone in the time alloted, and that prosecution would be served by the issuance of another non-final office action...